UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,971	11/04/2003	Muthiah Manoharan	CHEM0005US.P1	4943	
88395 Woodcock Was	7590 07/14/201 Shburn LLP	EXAMINER			
Cira Centre, 12t		MCGARRY, SEAN			
2929 Arch Stree Philadelphia, Pa		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
-			1635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/700,971	MANOHARAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sean R. McGarry	1635		

	Sean R. McGarry	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	!ss
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aband , or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (ich places the 3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the propertion of the properties of th	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate anally set in the final Office.	extension fee e extension fee action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the a	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	l6 and 41.33(a)).		ΓΟΙ -324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-6,9,21,24,25,36,37 and 101. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an exp	lanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails t	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attached	d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant has amended the claims to broaden the scope Applicant now argues the priority of the claimed inventior amendment has not been entered, the examiner would n claimed invention is drawn to siRNA compounds which a 08/870,608 is drawn to single stranded RNA molecules for upon for their assertion of priority is a double stranded RI molecule. There is no disclosure of siRNA compounds in	of the invention that was examined and assert that the invention has ote that the claimed invention does be a class of double stranded RNA or inhibition of nucleic acid express NA molecule that was utilized for the 08/870,608.	I in the previous Official priority to 08/870,608. As not have priority to 08/molecules with inhibito sion. The Example appl	Action. Although the /870,608. The ry capacity. licant relies
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Sean R McGarry/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100713

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendment significantly broadens the scope of the claimed invention and furthermore adds claims with limitations that have not been previously presented and considered.